UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,382	05/24/2005	Ulrik Darling Larsen	ALB.017	4711
	7590 05/05/200 & WHITT PLLC	EXAMINER		
ONE FREEDO	-	SHABMAN, MARK A		
RESTON, VA		90	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: _____.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,382	LARSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARK SHABMAN	2856	

	MARK SHABMAN	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		-	
1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or one			ndonment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) <u>.</u>		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later is may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of a corresponding amount of the corresponding amo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	''' 07 0FD 44 07	eu i idi i	5.11
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		ing to boton or allacti	2 4.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
With regards to the remarks filed on 16 April 2009, Applic			
Hanss and Graham is not present. Examiner disagrees a			
within the claimed range depending on the blood sample			
December 2008, Examiner states that the motivation for cells passing through the orifice that are used in the sam			
blood cells would still cause the Hanss apparatus to func			
cells, the newly modified apparatus would meet the structure			
of 10 to 1000 microns. As the Graham reference is relied	d upon to provide a teaching of usi	ng a different sized or	ifice than that of
Hanss, the other limitations recited within its disclosure a			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090430

Application No.